

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07)

EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)			
PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee			
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)				
NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; border: none;"> FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <div style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</div> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; border: none;"> FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case) </td> </tr> </table>			FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <div style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</div>	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <div style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</div>	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23			
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$			
Other Relief Sought				

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES		
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)		
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

James S. Carr, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Nicholas J. Panarella, Esq.
 Martin Krolewski, Esq.
 Kristin S. Elliott, Esq.
 KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
 101 Park Avenue
 New York, New York 10178
 Telephone: (212) 808-7800
 Telecopy: (212) 808-7897

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083)
 Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679)
 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC
 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
 Richmond, Virginia 23219
 Telephone: (804) 783-8300
 Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
 RICHMOND DIVISION**

In re:)	Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
)	
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,)	Chapter 11
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
_____)	
)	
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE)	
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING)	Adv. Pro. No. 10-_____
TRUST,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
I/OMAGIC CORPORATION, a Nevada)	
corporation,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO RECOVER AMOUNTS
 OWING TO THE ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 188**

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trustee”), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the “Plan”), for his complaint and for his objection to proof of claim number 188 (the “Complaint”) against I/O Magic Corporation (“Defendant”), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendant to recover amounts due and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment as well as turnover of property of the bankruptcy estates. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendant’s proof of claim filed against the Debtors’ estates.

THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee (“Trustee” or “Plaintiff”) is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trust”). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors’ estates.

3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively “Circuit City” or the “Debtors”)¹ were corporations

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard,

(cont’d)

that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada with its principal place of business located at 4 Marconi, Irvine, California 92618.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

9. On November 10, 2008 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

(cont'd from previous page)

Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors’ retail locations (the “Stores”) pursuant to an agency agreement (the “Agency Agreement”) between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the “Agent”). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors’ stores were completed.

12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors’ Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors’ assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.

14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the “Effective Date”).

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City and Defendant

15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.

16. On or about February 6, 2002, the parties entered into letter agreement regarding Circuit City's purchase of products from Defendant. During the course of the parties' relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions, that are reflected in various other letter agreements, invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the "Defendant's Agreements").

17. Circuit City purchased goods from the Defendant pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements.

18. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date under the Defendant's Agreements.

19. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

C. Amount Allegedly Owed to Defendant and Defendant's Proof of Claim

20. On or about December 5, 2008, Defendant filed Claim No. 188 in the amount \$60,630.23 as a claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9).

21. Defendant alleges that Claim No. 188 is based on, among other things, various alleged over deductions for returned merchandise, freight charges and vendor program deductions.

22. Pursuant to the Court's *Order on Debtor's Fourteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims (Reclassification of Certain Non Goods 503(b)(9) Claims to General Unsecured Non-Priority Claims)* [Docket No. 4443], Claim No. 188 was reclassified in its entirety as a general unsecured, non-priority claim.

D. Amounts Owed to Circuit City by Defendant

23. As of the date hereof, on account of the pre- and post-petition business conducted between Defendant and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements or otherwise, Defendant is indebted to Circuit City on account of the following unpaid obligations (the "Unpaid Obligations") in at least the following amounts:

Chargebacks	\$63.84
Returns	\$75,151.60
<u>TOTAL</u>	\$75,215.44

24. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendant to Circuit City.

25. Circuit City has made demand for the Unpaid Obligations, but Defendant has not complied, and has not paid any portion of the Unpaid Obligations.

COUNT I

BREACH OF CONTRACT

26. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

27. Pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, Defendant is obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations as and for chargebacks and returns in connection with goods previously delivered by Defendants to Debtors under the Defendant's Agreements, as set forth in Paragraph 24, *supra*.

28. The Defendant's Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendant.

29. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendant's Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.

30. Defendant's failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations constitutes a material breach of Defendant's obligations under the Defendant's Agreements.

31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$75,215.44.

32. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendant in an amount not less than \$75,215.44.

COUNT II

UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT

33. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

34. In the alternative to Count I, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count II.

35. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendant pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements by agreeing to purchase various goods from Defendant pursuant thereto.

36. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.

37. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendant in an amount not less than \$75,215.44 on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendant.

38. Defendant's receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendant in an amount not less than \$75,215.44.

39. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.

40. Accordingly, as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendant in an amount not less than \$75,215.44.

COUNT III

TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542

41. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

42. In the alternative to Counts I and II, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count III.

43. Defendant is in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$75,215.44.

44. Defendant is not a custodian for the Unpaid Obligations.

45. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendant to the Debtors.

46. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.

47. Despite being requested to do so, Defendant has not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.

48. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendant should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$75,215.44.

COUNT IV

ACCOUNT STATED

49. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

50. In the alternative to Counts I, II and III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IV.

51. One or more of the Debtors rendered invoices to the Defendant in the total amount of \$75,215.44.

52. Defendant has failed to pay the outstanding amount of \$75,215.44.

53. An account has been stated between the Debtor(s) which rendered the invoices and the Defendant whereby Defendant is indebted to such Debtor(s) in the total amount of \$75,215.44.

54. Despite demand therefore, said sums remain unpaid, and the Trustee is entitled to judgment against Defendant in the amount of \$75,215.44 plus interest.

COUNT V

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 188 AS UNWARRANTED

55. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

56. Claim No. 188 asserts a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors based upon various alleged over deductions for returned merchandise, freight charges and vendor program deductions.

57. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 188 is unwarranted. In particular, the Trustee has determined that the Books and Records reflect that the reversals by the Defendant of the previously taken deductions are inappropriate, therefore, there should be no liability for Claim No. 188

58. As a result, Defendant's Claim No. 188 should be disallowed in its entirety.

59. The Debtors reserve their rights to file additional objections to Claim No. 188 at a later time on any other grounds that bankruptcy or non-bankruptcy law permits.

COUNT VI

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 188– SETOFF OF THE UNPAID OBLIGATIONS

60. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

61. In the alternative to Counts I, II, III, IV and VI, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count VII.

62. The Unpaid Obligations arose from business transactions between the Debtors and Defendant.

63. The Unpaid Obligations are debts owed by Defendant to the Debtors.

64. Claim No. 188 also arose from business transactions between Defendant and the Debtors, and is a debt allegedly owed by the Debtors to Defendant.

65. Consequently, under applicable law, the Debtors have established valid setoff rights.

66. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 558, the Debtors' defenses, including setoff, are preserved.

67. Under applicable law, the Unpaid Obligations should be setoff first against Claim No. 188, up to the full amount of Claim No. 188.

68. After setoff, Claim No. 188 should be reduced to \$0 and the Unpaid Obligations should be reduced to **\$14,585.21** (the "Remaining Unpaid Obligations").

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

i. Pursuant to Count I, enter judgment against Defendant for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$75,215.44; and

ii. In the alternative to Count I, pursuant to Count II, enter judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment and award Circuit City restitution damages on account of Defendant's unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$75,215.44; and

iii. In the alternative to Counts I and II, pursuant to Count III, order Defendant to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$75,215.44; and

iv. In the alternative to Counts I, II and III, pursuant to Count IV, enter judgment against Defendant for an account stated and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$75,215.44; and

v. Pursuant to Count V, disallow Claim No. 188 in its entirety.

vi. In the alternative to Counts I, II, III, IV and V, pursuant to Count VI, authorize the Trustee to effect a setoff against Claim No. 188, reducing Claim No. 188 to \$0 and awarding the Trustee damages in the amount of not less than **\$14,585.21**; and

vii. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;

viii. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and

ix. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia
November 8, 2010

TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Paula S. Beran

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679)
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 783-8300

- and -

James S. Carr, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Nicholas J. Panarella, Esq.
Martin Krolewski, Esq.
Kristin S. Elliott, Esq.
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10178
Telephone: (212) 808-7800
Telecopy: (212) 808-7897

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee